



Natalie Rasmussen (as private and confidential)

Course Evaluation Report EDLD-670-82-Portfolio Review

Natalie Rasmussen,

In the attachment you will find the evaluation results for your course evaluation EDLD-670-82-Portfolio Review, Summer 2020.

The legend indicator is followed by the individual average values of the scaled questions. Student comments are included following the summary statistics.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact Sharifun Syed of the Institutional Analytics and Strategic Effectiveness, Minnesota State University, Mankato.

Warmest regards,
Sharifun Syed




Natalie Rasmussen
 Summer 2020 20211-002738-Seminar-N_Rasmussen
 No. of responses = 6

Survey Results

Legend


Question text




n=No. of responses
 av.=Mean
 dev.=Std. Dev.
 ab.=Abstention

1. SECTION 1: To provide a general evaluation please rate the following on a 5 to 1 scale (5 high...1 low)


1.1) The course as a whole




1.2) The instructor's contribution to the course




1.3) Use of class time




1.4) Instructor's interest in whether the students learned




1.5) Amount you learned in the course



1.6) Evaluative and grading techniques




1.7) Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements




2. Section 2: To provide feedback to the instructor please rate the following on a 5 to 1 scale (5 high...1 low)

2.1) Course organization




2.2) Instructor's contribution to discussions




n=6
av.=5
dev.=0

2.3) Instructor's use of examples and illustrations




n=6
av.=5
dev.=0

2.4) Quality of questions or problems raised by instructor




n=6
av.=5
dev.=0

2.5) Student confidence in instructor's knowledge




n=6
av.=5
dev.=0

2.6) Instructor's enthusiasm




n=6
av.=5
dev.=0

2.7) Encouragement given to students to express themselves



n=6
av.=5
dev.=0


2.8) Answers to student questions



n=6
av.=5
dev.=0


3. Background information

3.1) Would you recommend this course?




n=6

3.2) Is this course




n=6

3.3) Class



3.4) What grade do you expect to receive?



Profile

Subunit: Department of Educational Leadership
 Name of the instructor: Natalie Rasmussen,
 Name of the course: EDLD-670-82-Portfolio Review
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. SECTION 1: To provide a general evaluation please rate the following on a 5 to 1 scale (5 high...1 low)

Item	Scale	n	av.	md	dev.
1.1) The course as a whole		n=6	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.4
1.2) The instructor's contribution to the course		n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
1.3) Use of class time		n=6	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.4
1.4) Instructor's interest in whether the students learned		n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
1.5) Amount you learned in the course		n=6	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.4
1.6) Evaluative and grading techniques		n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
1.7) Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements		n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0

2. Section 2: To provide feedback to the instructor please rate the following on a 5 to 1 scale (5 high...1 low)

Item	Scale	n	av.	md	dev.
2.1) Course organization		n=6	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.4
2.2) Instructor's contribution to discussions		n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
2.3) Instructor's use of examples and illustrations		n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
2.4) Quality of questions or problems raised by instructor		n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
2.5) Student confidence in instructor's knowledge		n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
2.6) Instructor's enthusiasm		n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
2.7) Encouragement given to students to express themselves		n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
2.8) Answers to student questions		n=6	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0

Comments Report

4. Please comment on the following items...

4.1) What are the major strengths and weaknesses of the instructor?

■ Answers all questions and gives great feedback. Always available to help.

■ Organized
Lots of examples and resources
Honest
Clear expectations
Immediate feedback
Approachable

■ Very well prepared. Expert in the field. Very helpful whenever needed.

4.2) What aspects of this course were most beneficial to you?

■ Hard questions were asked that made one reflect.... it was good for growth.

■ Practice session preparation for my final portfolio defense.

■ The rehearsal was extremely valuable.

4.3) What would you suggest to improve this course?

■ Great the way it is.

■ In person safely :)

4.4) Comment on the grading procedures and exams.

■ Knew the process, knew that I would need to prepare my final portfolio for my grade.

■ No