



Natalie Rasmussen (as private and confidential)

Course Evaluation Report EDLD-698-83-Internship in Administration

Natalie Rasmussen,

In the attachment you will find the evaluation results for your course evaluation EDLD-698-83-Internship in Administration, Summer 2020.

The legend indicator is followed by the individual average values of the scaled questions. Student comments are included following the summary statistics.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact Sharifun Syed of the Institutional Analytics and Strategic Effectiveness, Minnesota State University, Mankato.

Warmest regards,
Sharifun Syed




Natalie Rasmussen
 Summer 2020 20211-002730-Internship-N_Rasmussen
 No. of responses = 6


Survey Results

Legend

Question text



1. SECTION 1: To provide a general evaluation please rate the following on a 5 to 1 scale (5 high...1 low)





2. Section 2: To provide feedback to the instructor please rate the following on a 5 to 1 scale (5 high...1 low)







3. Background information



3.3) Class



3.4) What grade do you expect to receive?



Profile

Subunit: Department of Educational Leadership
 Name of the instructor: Natalie Rasmussen,
 Name of the course: EDLD-698-83-Internship in Administration
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. SECTION 1: To provide a general evaluation please rate the following on a 5 to 1 scale (5 high...1 low)

Item	Scale	n	av.	md	dev.
1.1) The course as a whole	1-5	6	4.5	5.0	0.8
1.2) The instructor's contribution to the course	1-5	6	4.8	5.0	0.4
1.3) Use of class time	1-5	6	4.5	5.0	0.8
1.4) Instructor's interest in whether the students learned	1-5	6	5.0	5.0	0.0
1.5) Amount you learned in the course	1-5	6	4.7	5.0	0.8
1.6) Evaluative and grading techniques	1-5	6	4.7	5.0	0.8
1.7) Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements	1-5	6	4.7	5.0	0.8

2. Section 2: To provide feedback to the instructor please rate the following on a 5 to 1 scale (5 high...1 low)

Item	Scale	n	av.	md	dev.
2.1) Course organization	1-5	6	4.8	5.0	0.4
2.2) Instructor's contribution to discussions	1-5	6	5.0	5.0	0.0
2.3) Instructor's use of examples and illustrations	1-5	6	5.0	5.0	0.0
2.4) Quality of questions or problems raised by instructor	1-5	6	5.0	5.0	0.0
2.5) Student confidence in instructor's knowledge	1-5	6	5.0	5.0	0.0
2.6) Instructor's enthusiasm	1-5	6	5.0	5.0	0.0
2.7) Encouragement given to students to express themselves	1-5	6	5.0	5.0	0.0
2.8) Answers to student questions	1-5	6	5.0	5.0	0.0

Comments Report

4. Please comment on the following items...

4.1) What are the major strengths and weaknesses of the instructor?

- Always available for questions.
- As someone who was done with my intern hours and ready to defend my portfolio, this was a required but unnecessary class for me. I know others were in different situations though.
- Dr. Rasmussen is very organized, honest and an amazing presenter. She is prompt and Jen you have a question and always willing to go the extra mile for you. I loved taking classes From her.
- Very Well Prepared.

4.2) What aspects of this course were most beneficial to you?

- Clear expectations
 - Organized
 - Prompt if I had a question
 - Resourceful
 - Respectful
- Practice session for portfolio defense.

4.3) What would you suggest to improve this course?

- Nothing
- Really well set up.

4.4) Comment on the grading procedures and exams.

- Expectations were clear and I knew I would be doing this class for my final portfolio defense.
- It was fair